18742 Parallel Computer Architecture Caching in Multi-core Systems

Vivek Seshadri Carnegie Mellon University Fall 2012 – 10/03

Problems in Multi-core Caching

- Managing individual blocks
 - Demand-fetched blocks
 - Prefetched blocks
 - Dirty blocks
- Application awareness
 - High system performance
 - High fairness

Part 1 Managing Demand-fetched Blocks

Cache Management Policy



Traditional LRU Policy

- Insertion Policy
 - Insert at MRU
 - Rationale: Access => More access

- Promotion Policy
 - Promote to MRU
 - Rationale: Reuse => More reuse

Problem with LRU's Insertion Policy

- Cache pollution
 - Blocks may be accessed only once
 - Example: Scans
- Cache thrashing
 - Lot of blocks may be reused
 - Example: Large working sets

Addressing Cache Pollution



High Reuse: Insert at MRU Low Reuse: Insert at LRU

Keep track of the reuse behavior of every cache block in the system. **Impractical.**

Work on Reuse Prediction

Use program counter or memory region information.

1. Group Blocks



2. Learn group behavior



3. Predict reuse



Run-time Bypassing (RTB) – Johnson+ ISCA'97

Single-usage Block Prediction (SU) – Piquet+ ACSAC'07

Signature-based Hit Prediction (SHIP) – Wu+ MICRO'11

Evicted-Address Filters: Idea

Use recency of eviction to predict reuse



Evicted-Address Filter (EAF)



Addressing Cache Thrashing

Bimodal Insertion Policy

Insert at MRU with low probability Insert at LRU with high probability

A fraction of the working set retained in the cache

TA-DIP – Qureshi+ ISCA'07, Jaleel+ PACT'08 TA-DRRIP – Jaleel+ ISCA'10

Addressing Pollution and Thrashing

• Combine the two approaches?

• Problems?

Ideas?

• EAF using a Bloom filter

Bloom Filter



Inserted Elements: (χ) (γ)



Large Working Set: 2 Cases

Cache < Working set < Cache + EAF





Large Working Set: Case 1

Cache < Working set < Cache + EAF



Large Working Set: Case 1

Cache < Working set < Cache + EAF

Cache EAF DCRA DCBALKJH GFE Not removed Not present in the EAF Sequence: A B C D E F G H I J K L A B C D EAF BF:

Bloom-filter based EAF mitigates thrashing

Large Working Set: Case 2

Cache + EAF < Working Set

Cache EAF SRQPONML KJIHGFED CBA

Problem: All blocks are predicted to have low reuse

Allow a fraction of the working set to stay in the cache



Use **Bimodal Insertion Policy** for low reuse blocks. Insert few of them at the MRU position

Results – Summary



Part 2 Managing Prefetched Blocks Hopefully in a future course!

Part 2 Managing Dirty Blocks Hopefully in a future course!

Part 2 Application Awareness

Cache Partitioning

- Goals
 - High performance
 - High fairness
 - Both?
- Partitioning Algorithm/Policy
 - Determine how to partition the cache
- Partitioning Enforcement
 - Enforce the partitioning policy

Utility-based Cache Partitioning

- Way-based partitioning
- More benefit/utility => More cache space
- Problems
 - # Cores > # ways
 - Need core ID with each tag

Promotion-Insertion Pseudo Partitioning

- Partitioning Algorithm
 - Same as UCP
- Partitioning Enforcement
 - Modify cache insertion policy
 - Probabilistic promotion

Promotion Insertion Pseudo Partitioning – Xie+ ISCA'09

Software-based Partitioning

- Cho and Jin, "Managing Distributed, Shared L2 Caches through OS-Level Page Allocation," MICRO 2006.
- Lin et al., "Gaining Insights into Multi-Core Cache Partitioning: Bridging the Gap between Simulation and Real Systems," HPCA 2008

Page Coloring

Virtual page number



OS-based Partitioning

- Enforcing Partition
 - Colors partition the cache
 - Assign colors to each application
 - Application's pages are allocated in the assigned colors
 - Number of colors => amount of cache space
- Partitioning algorithm
 - Use hardware counters
 - # Cache misses

Set Imbalance

- Problem
 - Some sets may have lot of conflict misses
 - Others may be under-utilized
- Solution approaches
 - Randomize index
 - Not good for cache coherence. Why?
 - Set balancing cache
 - Pair an under-utilized set with one that has frequent conflict misses

That's it!