# Using Markov Decision Processes for Policy Enforcement

September 15, 2017

#### Automated enforcement of privacy policies

- Healthcare industry has had numerous high profile privacy incidents
- Government is increasingly cracking down on such breaches
- Privacy is an ongoing process
- Problem: Manual audit log reviews are tedious and expensive

Verifying an organization obeys privacy policies

- Privacy policies restrict the purpose for which some protected information can be used for
- Types of restrictions
  - Prohibitive rules
    - An organization does *not* use certain information *for* a purpose
    - Example: Yahoo!'s privacy policy
  - Exclusivity rules
    - An organization users certain information *only for* a given list of purposes
    - Example: HIPAA

# Enforcing privacy policies

- Problem:
  - Tools for enforcement assume that actions they audit are accurately labeled with the purpose
  - Tools do not analyze the meaning of *purpose*
- Solution:
  - Need a way to determine if an action could be for a purpose

# Purpose in policy enforcement

- Planning is central to purpose
  - Cognitive psychology: Purpose is the central determinant of behavior
- Formalizing the relationship between planning and purpose
  - Use MDP to construct all possible behaviors an exclusivity privacy policy allows
  - Auditing an organization:
    - MDP model of allowed behaviors VS
    - Behaviors recorded in the given audit log

# Planning for a purpose

- Use an MDP to model the environment the organization being audited operates in
- Why MDP?
  - Good for planning with probabilistic systems
  - Reward function quantifies the degree of progress towards a purpose that taking an action from a state results in
- If the organization being audited logs actions that only help to achieve a particular purpose:
  - Actions correspond to an optimal plan for that MDP
  - Actions are for that purpose

# **MDP: Formally**

- MDP is a tuple <S, A, T, R, γ>
  - S is a finite state space
  - A is a finite action set
  - $T: S \times A \rightarrow D(S)$  is a transition function that given a state and an action, maps to a distribution over states D(S)
  - $R: S \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a reward function
  - $\ \gamma$  : a discount factor such that  $0 < \gamma < 1$



# Example process modeled by MDP

- Actions: Left, Right, Up, Down
- Entering top-right corner gives reward of +1 and then takes you to a random state.
- Finding good strategy that maximizes reward.



Goal of an agent using MDP to plan its actions

- MDP = <S, A, T, R, >
- Maximize its expected total discounted reward
  - is a natural number ranging over time modeled as discrete steps
  - is the reward at time i
  - Expectation taken over probabilistic transitions
  - Discount factor accounts for preference of people to receive rewards sooner, not later

# What is a good strategy?

- What we want a strategy to optimize:
  - Expected reward / time step
  - Expected reward in the first t steps
  - Maximizing the expected discounted reward

### Maximizing the discounted reward

$$Q(s, a) = r_0 + \gamma r_1 + \gamma^2 r_2 + \gamma^3 r_3 + \dots$$
$$V(s) = \max_{a} [Q(s, a)]$$
$$V(s) = \max_{a} [R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s')V(s')]$$

# Solving for the maximum discounted award

- Given:
  - Transition function
  - Reward function
- Possible Solutions
  - Dynamic programming
    - Start with guesses for all states s
    - Update using  $V_i(s) = \max_a \mathbb{E}[R(s,a)] + \gamma \sum_{s'} \Pr(s') V_{i-1}(s')$
  - Linear programming
    - Replace "max" with "" and minimize subject to the constraints

# Infinite MDP

There exists an optimal stationary policy, with certain conditions on rewards.



# Was that an infinite MDP?

• How can you make it one?

- Add a stop action that loops at the end

# Audit Algorithm

AUDIT $(m = \langle S, A, t, r, \gamma \rangle, b = [s_1, a_1, \dots, s_n, a_n])$ : 01 if (IMPOSSIBLE(m, b))

02 return true // behavior impossible for NMDP 03  $V_m^* := \text{SOLVEMDP}(m)$ 

04 for 
$$(i := 1; i \le n; i++)$$
:

05 if 
$$(Q^*(V_m^*, s_i, a_i) < V_m^*(s_i))$$
:

06 return true // action suboptimal

07 if 
$$(\mathbb{Q}^*(V_m^*, s_i, a_i) \le 0 \text{ and } a_i \ne \text{Stop})$$
:

08 return true // action redundant

09 return false

#### Figure 2. The algorithm AUDIT

### Questions on the homework?