

Just Fast Keying Protocol

Anupam Datta
CMU

JFK

- ▶ **Just Fast Keying (JFK) protocol**
 - ▶ State-of-the-art key establishment protocol
 - ▶ [Aiello et al. 2002]
 - ▶ Informed design of IKEv2: the IPSec key exchange protocol

- ▶ **Derivation of the JFK protocol**
 - ▶ Combine known techniques for shared secret creation, authentication, identity and anti-DoS protection
 - ▶ [Datta et al. 2002]



Design Objectives for Key Exchange

- ▶ **Shared secret**
 - ▶ Create and agree on a secret which is known only to protocol participants
- ▶ **Authentication**
 - ▶ Participants need to verify each other's identity
- ▶ **Identity protection**
 - ▶ Eavesdropper should not be able to infer participants' identities by observing protocol execution
- ▶ **Protection against denial of service**
 - ▶ Malicious participant should not be able to exploit the protocol to cause the other party to waste resources



Ingredient 1: Diffie-Hellman

A \rightarrow B: g^a

B \rightarrow A: g^b

- ▶ Shared secret: g^{ab}
- ▶ Authentication
- ▶ Identity protection
- ▶ DoS protection



Ingredient 2: Challenge-Response

A \rightarrow B: m, A

B \rightarrow A: n, sig_B{m, n, A}

A \rightarrow B: sig_A{m, n, B}

- ▶ Shared secret
- ▶ Authentication
 - ▶ A receives his own number m signed by B's private key and deduces that B is on the other end; similar for B
- ▶ Identity protection
- ▶ DoS protection



DH + Challenge-Response

ISO 9798-3 protocol:

A \rightarrow B: g^a, A

B \rightarrow A: $g^b, \text{sig}_B\{g^a, g^b, A\}$

A \rightarrow B: $\text{sig}_A\{g^a, g^b, B\}$

$m := g^a$

$n := g^b$

- ▶ Shared secret: g^{ab}
- ▶ Authentication
- ▶ Identity protection
- ▶ DoS protection



Ingredient 3: Encryption

Encrypt signatures to protect identities:

$A \rightarrow B: g^a, A$

$B \rightarrow A: g^b, E_K\{\text{sig}_B\{g^a, g^b, A\}\}$

$A \rightarrow B: E_K\{\text{sig}_A\{g^a, g^b, B\}\}$

- ▶ Shared secret: g^{ab}
- ▶ Authentication
- ▶ Identity protection (for responder only!)
- ▶ DoS protection



Refresher: Anti-DoS Cookie

▶ Typical protocol:

- ▶ Client sends request (message #1) to server
- ▶ Server sets up connection, responds with message #2
- ▶ Client may complete session or not (potential DoS)

▶ Cookie version:

- ▶ Client sends request to server
- ▶ Server sends hashed connection data back
 - ▶ Send message #2 later, after client confirms
- ▶ Client confirms by returning hashed data
- ▶ Need extra step to send postponed message



Ingredient 4: Anti-DoS Cookie

“Almost-JFK” protocol:

A → B: g^a, A

B → A: $g^b, \text{hash}_{K_b}\{g^b, g^a\}$

A → B: $g^a, g^b, \text{hash}_{K_b}\{g^b, g^a\}$
 $E_K\{\text{sig}_A\{g^a, g^b, B\}\}$

B → A: $g^b, E_K\{\text{sig}_B\{g^a, g^b, A\}\}$

Doesn't quite work: B must remember his DH exponential b for every connection

- ▶ Shared secret: g^{ab}
- ▶ Authentication
- ▶ Identity protection
- ▶ DoS protection?



Anti-DoS

- ▶ Keep g^a, g^b values medium-term, use (g^a, nonce)
 - ▶ Use same Diffie-Hellman value for every connection (helps against DoS), update every 10 minutes or so
 - ▶ Nonce guarantees freshness
 - ▶ More efficient, because computing g^a, g^b, g^{ab} is costly



Identity protection

- ▶ **Two variants: JFKr and JFKi**
 - ▶ JFKr protects identity of responder against active attacks and of initiator against passive attacks
 - ▶ JFKi protects only initiator's identity from active attack



JFKr Protocol

[Aiello et al.]

