## Design <br> Review

## Presentation

D8 - Traffix

## Use Case

## The Problem:

- Current traffic lights waste time and fuel
- Stakeholders:
- Local transportation authorities
- Average commuter


## The Solution:



- Design a smart traffic light that continuously optimizes light timings based on car/pedestrian density and flow data
[1] https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop08024/chapter6.htm
[2] https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Inductive-loop-detectors-based-traffic-management_fig1_274270897


## Quantitative Design Requirements

| Design Requirement | Specification | Use Case Justification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CV model accuracy | $\sim 90 \%$ for cars <br> $\sim 80 \%$ for pedestrians | Users should feel like light timings <br> reflect actual traffic density |
| Optimization | Avg. wait time reduced >10\% <br> compared to fixed-time light | Q.O.L. improvement should be <br> noticeable to drivers + pedestrians |
| Stress/complexity handling | Models can handle a minimum <br> of 10 cars at each side of <br> intersection + complex API data | Product is most useful if it can be <br> used to alleviate high-density traffic |
| Latency | < 5s total between traffic data <br> input and time interval update | Light changes should accurately <br> reflect the current situation |

## System Specification



## Solution Approach - Hardware

## Cameras

- 4 IP cameras to capture each side of the intersection
- Live video data streamed to RPi (WiFi connection)


## Traffic Light Mockup

- Addressable LED Ring
- Controlled by Arduino
- USB connection to RPi



## Implementation - Hardware

## Traffic Light Circuit (TLC)

- Custom PCB: breakout board mounted on an Arduino
- Arduino fed light timing data from RPi
- Translates data to control addressable LEDs


## Camera Setup

- 4 WiFi enabled cameras, one for each street direction
- Camera positions must be fairly consistent for image identification model
- Depending on testing process, may need to construct a mount of some sort


Reolink Argus 2E

## Solution Approach - Software

## Traffic Object Identification Model

- Identify number of cars and number of pedestrians
- Feed into optimization model to determine how to change light intervals


## Optimization Model

- Traffic APIs for live data of nearby intersections with oncoming traffic
- Data from object identification model to get current intersection data
- Reinforcement learning to allow historical data to

ection-with-opencv-and-cascade-classifiers-8c6834191a0b influence future light intervals


## Implementation - Software

## Optimization Model

- Live traffic data of nearby roads: TomTom Traffic API and HERE Traffic API
- Free for our usage
- Reinforcement learning techniques: Q-learning ${ }^{[1]}$
- Pytorch

```
"flowSegmentData": {
    "-xmlns": "http://lbs.tomtom.com/services",
    "-version": "traffic-service 2.0.004",
    "frc": "FRC2"
    "currentSpeed": 41,
    "freeFlowSpeed": 70,
    "currentTravelTime": 153,
    "freeFlowTravelTime": 90,
    "confidence": 0.59,
    "roadClosure": true,
    "coordinates": {
    "coordinate": [
        },
```

"latitude": 52.40476, "longitude": 4.844318 \},

## Object Detection Model

- Haar cascade - easy to implement on constrained hardware
- Train different cascades for different objects
- Use existing XML files for cars and pedestrians ${ }^{[2]}$
- OpenCV


## Implementation - Integration

## Processing/Computation

- Raspberry Pi 4 will run CV and optimization models
- Connected to common WiFi network with cameras to receive their live data - CMU-SECURE or Mobile WiFi hotspot
- Make API calls from RPi
- Output light timing info sent through Serial communication to Arduino
[1]



## Testing, Verification, Metrics

## Optimization Model

- Compare average wait time of cars \& pedestrians over multiple traffic cycles (2-5)
- With simulated car and pedestrian counts using SUMO, against simulated fixed-interval model
- With actual footage taken on each side of the intersection
- $>10 \%$ reduction in average wait time


## Object Detection Model

- Run on video samples and verify correct counts are achieved
- ~90\% accuracy with vehicles
- ~80\% accuracy with pedestrians


## Testing, Verification, Metrics

## Traffic Light Circuit (TLC)

- Integration tests to ensure:
- Input RPi data is received properly
- Output to LEDs reflects desired functionality
- RPi data receipt -> LED change latency should be < 1s


## RPi Integration

- Test WiFi connection with cameras and ability to receive API call data
- Stress tests to verify latency < 5 s between input and output to TLC
- "stress" = high-complexity data, rather than high-speed


## Risk Mitigation

## Cameras

- Reduce initial 4-camera plan to 2 cameras (simulate other sides based on API data)
- Use standard wired RPi cameras if IP cameras fail
- Use pre-recorded videos / existing traffic camera footage if image identification model does not work reliably with live camera feed


## Software

- If latency requirements not met
- Only keep track of wait time for specific cars
- Only run image identification model on 2 sides of the intersection
- Only consider vehicles for optimization algorithm
Camerar research - Ankita
Feb 5 -Feb 12

Schedule (link)

## Traffic optimization research - Kaitlyn Febe 5 - - ebe 12

$\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Traffic simulation with SUMO - Kaitly } \\ & \text { Feb 212- Fobe 21 }\end{aligned}\right.$
Car optimization algorithm - Kaitym
Feb 21-Mart
Adding pedestrian wait time optimization - Kaityn
Mar 1 - Mar 25

## $\underset{\substack{\text { APl research } \\ \text { Feb } 5-\text { Febe } \\ 8}}{\text { Kaityn }}$

Dota collection code - kaitlyn
Feb 12- Febil Unit testing - Kaitlyn
Febi $19-$ Feb 22

Seting up Rpi-Ankita
Feb 19 - Febe 26
Seting up camera connection to Rpi - Zina
Feb 19 - - 2 eb 26
$\substack{\text { Get camera data from RPi to detection algorithm . Ankita } \\ \text { Fee 26-Mar } 4}$

$\int_{\substack{\text { Suild small LED } \\ \text { Feb } 19-\text {-ee 28 }}}$
Design + Order PCB for larger scale TLC system with addressable LEDS - Zina
Fee 26-Mar 4

